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## General presentation of the programme

## Creation : 1997

Total budget (France + Argentina) : around 540000 / year
>> including budget from France : $269000 €$ / year
>> including budget from Argentina : same $€$ / year

Number of new projects per year : ~ 17

## From 2005-2015: <br> 890 applications submitted 182 projects funded

## Data sources

## ECOS South Argentina committee

Information about applications to the ECOS South Argentina program

- List of mobilities (France to Argentina)

Survey (conducted by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation)

- Target : Principal Investigators of selected projects between 2005 and 2015
- Survey initiated in September 2017 and ended in October 2017, focusing on the projects funded between 2005 and 2015
- $40 \%$ response ratio ( 73 respondents for 182 funded projects)


## Answers to the survey

## 73 <br> answers (40 \%)

Average response rate to the survey : 40 \% (73 answers)


## Methodology (Survey)

After a common definition of an analysis framework with the Ministry for European and Foreign Affairs (MEAE), this survey was implemented jointly with the French embassy using the following structure:
$\checkmark$ General information
$\checkmark$ Scientific production
$\checkmark$ Involvement of young researchers
$\checkmark$ Mobility
$\checkmark$ Perennity after the end of the project
$\checkmark$ General opinion on the programme

Respondents : French Principal Investigators in the projects.
Data was collected from September to October 2017.

## 2005-2015 <br> Key Points

## NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS VS SELECTION RATE

## (comparison between 26 different bllateral programmes)



|  | Average selection rate for 2005-2015 : 20\% vs 32\% mean Average number of applications 2005-2015 : 82 vs 56 mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |

## Success rate

ECOS
Committee data

## Average selection rate from 2005-2015: 20 \%




## Main French participating institutions

## Survey data



## AGE OF PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS (PI)

(COMPARISON BETWEEN 26 DIFFERENT BILATERAL PROGRAMMES)



## French Pls (principal investigators)

## Survey data

## Professional status

## Gender



■ Full professor

- Assistant professor
- Senior researcher

■ Junior researcher
Other

## IMPLICATION OF WOMEN (FRANCE)

## (COMPARISON BETWEEN 26 DIFFERENT BILATERAL PROGRAMMES)




Participation of young researchers

## 11 \% of French Pls are young researchers

- <40 years
$\square$ between 40 and 55 years
- $\quad$ 55 years

Participation of young researchers

## (2/3)

## 100 \% of projects integrate PhD students



Participation of young researchers

## (3/3)

Survey data

37 \% of projects integrate post-doctoral researchers


## IMPLICATION OF PhDs and Postdocs (COMPARISON BETWEEN 26 DIFFERENT BILATERAL PROGRAMMES)



|  | \% of projects implying PhDs and Post-doc : 86\% vs 66\% mean Average rate of scientific production per PhD : 1,08 vs 0,71 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 를 | MINISTERE DE L'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPÉRIEUR <br> DE LA RECHERCHE ET DE L'INNOVATION |  |

## Before this ECOS South Argentina programme

## Survey

 dataParticipation to another cooperation program supported by France in Latin America before this ECOS South Argentina program


## Mobility

8

## Mobility

## ECOS <br> Committee data

Missions et séjours ECOS-Sud France-Argentine


Argentina >> Francia France >> Argentine

## Scientific production

8

## Scientific output (1 / 2)



## Scientific output (2 / 2)

## Survey <br> 79\% of projects have produced co-publications 78\% including PHD \& PostDoc

|  | Number of funded projects by thematic area | Ratio of funded projects by thematic area | Number of copublicatio ns | Ratio of copublications by thematic area | Intensity of copublication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4\% | 4 | 1\% | 1,3 |
| Physics | 13 | 18\% | 49 | 18\% | 3,8 |
| Marine / Earth / Planet Sciences | 6 | 8\% | 45 | 16\% | 7,5 |
| Chemistry | 10 | 14\% | 40 | 15\% | 4,0 |
| Biology and Health | 22 | 30\% | 81 | 30\% | 3,7 |
| Humanities | 7 | 10\% | 24 | 9\% | 3,4 |
| Social Sciences | 2 | 3\% | 5 | 2\% | 2,5 |
| Engineering Sciences | 5 | 7\% | 18 | 7\% | 3,6 |
| Information Technology | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0,0 |
| Agronomy / Food Science / Environment / Biodiversity | 5 | 7\% | 8 | 3\% | 1,6 |
| TOTAL | 73 | 100\% | 274 | 100\% | 3,8 |

## What happens after a ECOS South Argentina Project ?

## CONTINUATION OF THE COLLABORATION (COMPARISON BETWEEN 26 DIFFERENT BILATERAL PROGRAMMES)



Continuation of the collaboration : 79\% vs $81 \%$ mean
Continuation of the collaboration with other sources of subvention : 38\% vs 33\% mean

## Continuation of the collaboration (survey)

## $\longrightarrow 79 \%$ of the collaborations continued after the ECOS



## Continuation of the collaboration: funding <br> $38 \%$ of funded collaborations after the ECOS

If yes, what kind of funding ? How many occurrences ?


## Continuation of the collaboration (survey)

## Survey

\% of projects where joint
structures were created on the basis of the ECOS

Type of initiatives:
5


3

## General opinion on the programme :

 word cloud (survey)Survey data


## Preliminary Conclusions / Recommendations

## CONCLUSIONS

$>$ Top PHD student involvement (100 \%)
> Mainly senior Principal Investigators (89 \%)
$>$ Good further scientific collaborations (79 \%)
$>$ Difficulties for obtaining financial support for further scientific collaborations (38 \%)
> High level of scientific output (79\%) including PHD students (79 \%)

## RECOMMENDATIONS

$\checkmark$ Encourage NEW collaborations
$\checkmark$ Promote young researchers applications
$\checkmark$ Improve sucess ratio

French national authorities (MESRI / MEAE) will provide a complete analysis of the survey (incl. on the scientific impact) and provide this to recipients of the funding and participants in this symposium.

Preliminary conclusions suggest that the funding scheme is efficiently contributing to creating (maintaining) fruitful and long term cooperation, despite the relatively low financial support, which is to be considered as "seed money".

Thank you for your attention
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